#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# November 17, 2010

#### **Staff Report**

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: John Weir

Applicant: California Housing Finance Agency

**Allocation Amount Requested:** 

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

**Tax-exempt:** \$5,915,000

**Project Information:** 

Name: Village Meadows Apartments

**Project Address**: 700 Arbor Parkway

County, Zip Code: Hemet, Riverside, 92545

Project City, County, Zip Code: Her

Hemet Leased Housing Associates I, LP (Hemet Leased

Housing Associates I, LLC, Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing Corporation and Polaris Holdings I, LLC)

**Principals:** 

Name:

David L. Brierton, Jack W. Safar, Armand E. Brachman, Paul R.

Sween, Jefferey R. Huggett, Mark S. Moorhouse and

Christopher P. Barnes for Hemet Leased Housing Associates I, LLC & Polaris Holdings I, LLC and Alan Jenkins, Sid McIntyre, Jennifer Bertuccio, Renee Downum, Steve Simmons and Chris Alley for Central Valley Coaltion for Affordable Housing

Corporation.

**Project Financing Information:** 

Bond Counsel: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

**Underwriter**: Prudential Affordable Mortgage Corporation

Credit Enhancement Provider: Prudential/Freddie Mac

Private Placement Purchaser: Not Applicable
TEFRA Hearing Date: September 20, 2010

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

State Ceiling Pool: General

**Total Number of Units:** 67, plus 1 manager unit

Type of Units: Acquisition and Rehabilitation
Type of Units: Family/Federally Assisted At-Risk

**Description of Public Benefits:** 

**Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project:** 100%

58% (39 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

42% (28 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

**Unit Mix:** 2 & 3 bedrooms

**Term of Restrictions:** 

**Income and Rent Restrictions:** 55 years

#### **Details of Project Financing:**

**Estimated Total Development Cost:** \$ 11,928,028

**Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:** \$ 38,995 (\$2,612,651 /67 units) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 178,030 (\$11,928,028 /67 units) **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 88,284 (\$5,915,000 /67 units)

**Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:** \$ 88,284 (\$5,915,000 /67 restricted units)

<b>Sources of Funds:</b>	Construction		Permanent	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$	5,915,000	\$ 5,915,000	
<b>Taxable Bond Proceeds</b>	\$	2,974,773	\$ 0	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$	0	\$ 322,193	
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$	555,040	\$ 2,775,200	
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$	500,000	\$ 2,707,000	
Other (Reserves from Seller, NOI)	\$	208,635	\$ 208,635	
Total Sources	\$	10,153,448	\$ 11,928,028	
Uses of Funds:				
Land Purchase	\$	5,700,000		
On & Off Site Costs	\$	316,160		
Hard Construction Costs	\$	2,296,491		
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$	151,720		
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$	321,509		
Developer Fee	\$	1,258,849		
Cost of Issuance	\$	179,033		
Other Soft Costs	\$	1,704,266		
Total Uses	\$	11,928,028		

#### **Legal Questionnaire:**

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

**Total Points:** 

73 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

# **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$5,915,000 in tax exempt bond allocation.

### ATTACHMENT A

## **EVALUATION SCORING:**

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project	20	20	10
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	35
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project]	[10]	[10]	0
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Large Family Units	5	5	5
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
Site Amenities	10	10	5
Service Amenities	10	10	0
New Construction	10	10	0
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	3
Negative Points	-10	-10	0
Total Points	128	108	73

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.